

Report to: **Audit, Best Value and Community Services Scrutiny Committee**

Date: **6 November 2012**

By: **Interim Director of Corporate Resources**

Title of report: **Strategic Risk Monitoring**

Purpose of report: **To update the Committee on current Strategic Risks faced by the Council their status and mitigating actions**

---

**RECOMMENDATION:** The Committee is recommended to note the current strategic risks, the update of their status and the mitigating actions being proposed and implemented by Chief Officers.

---

## **1. Financial Appraisal**

1.1 There are no direct financial implications from this report. There are however significant financial implications that could arise through the failure to operate a sound risk management regime.

## **2. Supporting Information**

2.1 We are strengthening our approach to risk management in response to the changing risk landscape across the public sector and a changing risk profile for the County Council. The aim is to move towards a more mature, dynamic and real time approach which promotes a risk aware/informed culture and supports the Council in managing the delivery of priority outcomes with reducing resources.

2.2 The revised Strategic Risk Register is attached as Appendix A and reflects the first stage of this development. Whilst the format is broadly the same as previously a number of changes have already been made:

- The register is more clearly focused on the risks to the delivery of the Council's key corporate objectives, as set out in the Promise;
- There is a clearer link between the Strategic Risk Register and departmental risk registers which are now reviewed on a monthly basis at departmental management teams and are reported quarterly to CMT to allow escalation – in this case Strat-09, the risk of failure to deliver sustainable improvement in the condition of A & B class roads has been escalated from the ETE risk register;
- Risk monitoring is now integrated alongside finance and performance monitoring as part of a single quarterly report to CMT and Cabinet.

2.4 In addition we intend, as part of the Quarter 2 monitoring round, to circulate departmental risk registers to the relevant Scrutiny Committees to inform their activities and workplans.

2.5 These are initial steps, building on existing arrangements, which will be developed further, alongside the integration of our quarterly monitoring processes for finance and performance and the sharper focus on priority outcomes as part of RPPR for 2013/14. Developing plans and progress will be reported to future meetings of this Committee.

ANDREW TRAVERS  
Interim Director of Corporate Resources

Contact Officers      Duncan Savage, Tel:01273 482330  
                          Rawdon Philips, Insurance & Risk Manager Tel: 01273 481593

Local Member: All

Background Documents  
None

## Strategic Risk Register Heat Map

## Appendix 5 - Risk Log

STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER			
		The following 'heat map' highlights the number of risks currently on strategic risk register and their current rating using the 4x4 matrix - likelihood and impact	
		Impact	
Score		1	2
		Low	Medium
4		Almost certain	0
3		Likely	0
2		Moderate	0
1		Unlikely / Rare	0
Likelihood			



Risk Level	Strategic	Strategic	Strategic	Risk Score (L x I)	Likelihood (L)	Impact Score 0 - 4	Risk Control	Owner	Last Updated
Risk	Strat-09 (formerly ETE-34)	Strat-01	Strat-07	4	3	12	Joint approach with boroughs and districts to design common scheme for East Sussex Specialist support commissioned for financial modelling Potential financial impact reflected through RPPR partnership approach through Financial Inclusion Partnership	Becky Shaw	29/08/2012
Strategic	Strategic	Strategic	Corporate	Corporate	Corporate	Corporate	Corporate	Becky Shaw	08/08/2012
Risk Clubb	ETE	ETE	ETE	ETE	ETE	ETE	ETE	ETE	11/09/2012 escalated from ETE risk log 29/08/2012

Risk Level	Risk Reference	Risk Impact Score 0 - 4 (I)	Risk Likelihood Score (L) 0 - 4	Risk Score (L x I) (R)	Risk Control	Last Updated	Owner	Department
Strategic	Strat-04	Strategic	Strategic	Strategic	Health changes leading to sub-optimal outcomes for East Sussex community. Impact of local NHS deficit and recovery plan on both health outcomes and ESCC services / costs.	3    3	3    9	Partnership working with CCGs and NHS Sussex on the development of joint commissioning and delivery arrangements for health and social care Shadow Health and Well Being Board to promote partnership working and oversee development and implementation of Health and Well Being Strategy Development of strategic plan for improving the health and well being of East Sussex residents Robust programme management arrangements for the agreed plans Management of joint commissioning arrangements Quality Innovation Productivity and Prevention targets and health and social care transformation Early Implementer status for Public Health Multi Agency Public Health Steering Group to oversee new arrangement
Strategic	Strat-03	Strategic	Strategic	Strategic	Lack of capacity to deliver corporate change programme.	3    3	3    9	Focussing existing resources to deliver corporate priorities Secure additional specialist support where needed Clear Effective staff engagement plans to deliver and co-ordinate workstreams and
Strategic	Strat-02	Strategic	Strategic	Strategic	Failure to deliver capital programme outcomes on-time and on-budget.	3    3	3    9	Establish Capital Board and develop work programme Capital programme more clearly linked to RPPR Procure specialist delivery support Property Improvement Plan
Strategic	Strategic	Strategic	Strategic	Strategic	Ineffective corporate support functions	3    3	3    9	Implementation of improvement plans for procurement, property and ICT Consolidation of resource functions under COO Develop strategic partnerships for support service functions
Andrew Travers	Matt Dunkley	Becky Shaw	Becky Shaw	Corporate	Robust programme management arrangements for the agreed plans Management of joint commissioning arrangements Quality Innovation Productivity and Prevention targets and health and social care transformation Early Implementer status for Public Health Multi Agency Public Health Steering Group to oversee new arrangement	29/08/2012	18/09/2012	08/08/2012

## Strategic Risk Register

## Appendix 5 - Risk Log

Risk Level	Strategic	Risk Level	Risk Score (L x I)	Likelihood (L)	Risk Score (L x I)	Risk Control	Last Updated
Strategic	Strategic	Risk Reference	Score 0 - 4 (I) Impact	Score 0 - 4 (I) Impact	Risk Score (L x I)	Risk Control	Owner
Strategic	Strat-08	Strat-05	3	3	9	Employee engagement programme Review of internal communication function Consistent and supportive management of single status roll out and restructuring HRMB work programme	29/08/2012
Strategic	Decline in the regional and sub-regional economy and failure to deliver local economic regeneration	4	2	8	Implement economic development strategy Refocussing of capital programme to support economic development Partnership working through SELEP Broadband project leading to increased coverage of superfast broadband Inward investment programme Link Road releases economic development potential in Hastings / Bexhill	29/08/2012	Rupert Clubb
Corporate							Simon Hughes
Rupert Clubb							

